	Case 2:22-cv-01014-KJM-JDP Documen	nt 12 Filed 08/11/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
	Vatsavai, et al.,	No. 2:22-CV-01014-KJM-JDP
	Plaintiffs,	
	V.	
	Jaddou,	
	Defendant.	
	Aiyyathurai, et al.,	NO. 2:22-CV-01046-TLN-DB
	Plaintiffs,	DEL ATED GAGE OPPER
	V.	RELATED CASE ORDER
	Jaddou,	
	Defendant.	
11	Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the	
12	meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, "both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same	
13	question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a	
14	substantial savings of judicial effort." Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of	
15	these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is	
16	likely to be convenient for the parties.	
10	months to be convenient for the parties.	
		1

## Case 2:22-cv-01014-KJM-JDP Document 12 Filed 08/11/22 Page 2 of 2

	The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions to be
2	assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related cases
3	are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
1	assigned.
5	As a result, it is hereby <b>ordered</b> that 2:22-CV-01046-TLN-DB is reassigned from Judge
5	Troy L. Nunley to the undersigned and from Magistrate Judge Deborah L Barnes to Magistrate
7	Judge Jeremy D. Peterson. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case

It is further **ordered** that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

This order resolves ECF No. 7.

shall be shown as: 2:22-CV-01046-KJM-JDP.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 10, 2022.

14

8

9

10

11

12

13

2